JUDICIAL EVOLUTION OF MEDIATION: BRIDGING THE GAP IN CONFLICT RESOLUTION

Manpreet Singh
(B. Pharm., LL.B.)
Law Centre-I, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi.
manpreetsinghpc38@gmail.com
+91 7087447486

ABSTRACT:

The research paper summarises analysis of the development of alternate dispute resolution method, with a special focus on Mediation. It has attempted to outlined the history, development, present scenario and the future of ADR and Mediation in India. This paper summarises the impact of Indian Judiciary present and future laws structured over Alternate Dispute Resolution methods. The report lays down the requirements for implementing effective ADR & ODR methods across the world, and it also acknowledges the difficulties faced during the implementation of ADR methods in the legal field, with a special emphasis on the mediation. The report's primary focus is on mediation and ODR methods in Alternate Dispute Resolution. It describes the present implementation condition, challenges and potential scope of the domain. The report provides a broader overview of the implications of ADR & ODR methods in order to provide insights into current areas of debate on the use of mediation in legal services. This paper used qualitative research for all the non-numerical data collection, descriptive research for gathering and checking facts, and exploratory research for a flexible and investigative approach. It is crucial to foster governance of existing ADR methods to fuel the merger of Mediation and Online Dispute Resolution mechanisms. The future of Mediation without efficient ADR & ODR infrastructure and symbiotic laws, is subject to major failures as it involves a threat to the interests of an individual, institutions and society at large.

KEYWORDS:

ADR, Mediation, Indian Judiciary, ODR Procedures, Conflict Resolution, Dispute Resolution.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Alternate Dispute Resolution refers to settling or concluding legal disputes by an alternative mode other than adversarial legal system, these methods usually involve the parties in conflict and a neutral, unbiased third party which regulates and maintains the process. The role of the third neutral party is to provide a platform to the parties in conflict so that they can pinpoint the root cause of their problem, discuss, explore various solutions available to them and at last mutually decide a workable solution to their conflict. And all of this happens outside the ambit of conventional adversarial method in the legal field. Most of the societies and civilisations had their methods of conflict resolution, way before the existence of the Courts of Law. Hundreds and Thousands of such methods have emerged, evolved and disappeared from the society, while a number of these still exist and are quite popular. While naming a few of the most popular ADR methods, the following list could be compiled:

- Arbitration
- Conciliation
- Mediation
- Lok Adalats
- Negotiation

The recent surge in the use of ADR methods has highlighted several qualities which are lacked by the conventional legal methods of fighting cases in courts. The traits which give ADR method an upper hand over the adversarial system are, Less Time Consuming, Low Cost, Greater Effectiveness, Less Burden on Judiciary, etc. Apart from the above mentioned perks, these methods provide for much greater flexibility to the parties in conflict, as it allows them to choose a mutually workable solution among themselves, which usually ends the dispute without any harshness among the parties. This is the most beautiful characteristic of ADR methods, it mends the relations of the parties as a by product of resolving their disputes. Mediation is a process in which a neutral third party works with & assists the parties in conflict to negotiate a settlement by facilitating communication between them, identifying their interests, listing down solutions available to them and finding the most appropriate, practical and executable solution, which ultimately ends the conflict between them. Mediation as a method of dispute resolution is getting very popular day by day, not only in India but throughout the globe. While Arbitration is considered as an Adversarial method of dispute resolution, Mediation to its very core is an alternate dispute resolution mechanisms, totally

different from adversarial methods. The history of ADR in India can be raced back to centuries ago, where at the village level the *panchayat* or the elders of the village or the *Mahajans* acted as mediators to resolve any conflict among the locals. And even today in many areas of the country, *Panchayats* act as the primary body for conflict resolution. Mediation gained more ground after the setting up of *Lok Adalats*.

2. THE JUDICIARY'S ROLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF MEDIATION AS A MODE OF CONFLICT RESOLUTION:

There surely existed a need for the development of ADR & ODR methods and the most crucial of all needs was to lessen the burden on the Judicial system, the same burden and overload which has resulted in millions of case pending for years in Indian courts[1]. To be exact the data for pending cases in India as of 05 August 2022 (as stated by the Hon'ble Law Minister of India in Rajya Sabha) is as follows:[2]

S. No.	**Name of the Court**	**No. of Cases Pending** (as of 05 August 2022)
1.	SUPREME COURT OF INDIA	71 Thousand
2.	HIGH COURTS	5.9 Million
3.	SUBORDINATE COURTS	41 Million
TOTAL No. OF PENDING CASES IN INDIA		4,69,7100 (Forty Six Million Nine Hundred Seventy One Thousand)

Although various ADR methods already existed in our society but the credit for promotion and implementation of ADR & ODR methods in present day's legal system shall be attributed to The Indian Judicial System. There have been multiple instances in India where the Indian Judiciary has attempted to establish, explain and implement various methods, precedents to develop the infrastructure which we can today witness, to ensure a smooth functioning of ADR methods for resolving conflicts.

[1] *Live Law* "Over 71000 cases pending in Supreme Court, 59 lakhs in High Court : Law Minister tells Rajya Sabha" August 5, 2022.
[2] Ibid

In the Afcons Case[3], the Supreme Court noted that Section 89[4] of the code of civil procedure code has several drafting flaws and offered revisions that the Law Commission of India could take into consideration.

Relevant Paragraphs Of ***Afcons Infrastructure Case***[5] Quote

"**PARA 43:**

We may summarize the procedure to be adopted by a court under section 89 of the Code as under:

(a) When …dispute between the parties.

(b) The court should first consider whether the case falls under any of the category of the cases which are required to be tried by courts and not fit to be referred to any ADR processes. If it finds the case falls under any excluded category, it should record a brief order referring to the nature of the case and why it is not fit for reference to ADR processes. It will then proceed with the framing of issues and trial.

(c) In other cases (that is, in cases which can be referred to ADR processes) the court should explain the choice of five ADR processes to the parties to enable them to exercise their option.

(d) The court should first ascertain whether the parties are willing for arbitration. The court should inform the parties that arbitration is an adjudicatory process by a chosen private forum and reference to arbitration will permanently take the suit outside the ambit of the court. The parties should also be informed that the cost of arbitration will have to be borne by them. Only if both parties agree for arbitration, and also agree upon the arbitrator, the matter should be referred to arbitration.

(e) If the parties are not agreeable for arbitration, the court should ascertain whether the parties are agreeable for reference to conciliation which will be governed by the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act. If all the parties

---

[3] (2010) 8 SCC 24

[4] Section 89 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908

[5] *Live Law* "Over 71000 cases pending in Supreme Court, 59 lakhs in High Court : Law Minister tells Rajya Sabha" August 5, 2022.

agree for reference to conciliation and agree upon the conciliator(s), the court can refer the matter to conciliation in accordance with section 64 of the AC Act.

(f) If parties are not agreeable for arbitration and conciliation, which is likely to happen in most of the cases for want of consensus, the court should, keeping in view the preferences/options of parties, refer the matter to any one of the other three other ADR processes:

i. *Lok Adalat;*
ii. ***mediation by a neutral third-party facilitator or mediator****; and*
iii. *a judicial settlement, where a Judge assists the parties to arrive at a settlement.*

(g) If the case is simple which may be completed in a single sitting, or cases relating to a matter where the legal principles are clearly settled and there is no personal animosity between the parties (as in the case of motor accident claims), the court may refer the matter to Lok Adalat. ***In case where the questions are complicated or cases which may require several rounds of negotiations, the court may refer the matter to mediation.*** *Where the facility of mediation is not available or where the parties opt for the guidance of a Judge to arrive at a settlement, the court may refer the matter to another Judge for attempting settlement.*

(h) If the reference to the ADR process fails, on receipt of the report of the ADR forum, the court shall proceed with hearing of the suit. If there is a settlement, the court shall examine the settlement and make a decree in terms of it, keeping the principles of Order 23 Rule 3[6] of the Code in mind.

(i) If the settlement includes disputes which are not the subject matter of the suit, the court may direct that the same will be governed by Section 74 of the AC Act[7] (if it is a conciliation settlement) or ***Section 21 of the LSA Act[8] (if it is a settlement by a Lok Adalat or by mediation which is a deemed Lok Adalat)****.* ***If the settlement is through mediation and it relates not only to disputes which are the***

[6] Order XXIII Rule 3 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.
[7] Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
[8] Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987.

subjectmatter of the suit, but also other disputes involving persons other than the parties to the suit, the court may adopt the principle underlying Order 23 Rule 3 of the Code. *This will be necessary as many settlement agreements deal with not only the disputes which are the subject-matter of the suit or proceeding in which the reference is made, but also other disputes which are not the subject matter of the suit.*

(j) If …executability.

PARA 44:

The Court should also bear in mind the following consequential aspects, while giving effect to Section 89 of the Code:

(i) If … order-sheet.

(ii) If the reference is to any other ADR process, the court should briefly record that having regard to the nature of dispute, the case deserves to be referred to Lok Adalat, or ***mediation*** *or judicial settlement, as the case may be. There is no need for an elaborate order for making the reference.*

(iii) The requirement in Section 89(1) that the court should formulate or reformulate the terms of settlement would only mean that court has to briefly refer to the nature of dispute and decide upon the appropriate ADR process.

(iv) If … Judge.

(v) If the court refers the matter to an ADR process (other than Arbitration), it should keep track of the matter by fixing a hearing date for the ADR Report. The period allotted for the ADR process can normally vary from a week to two months (which may be extended in exceptional cases, depending upon the availability of the alternative forum, the nature of case etc.). Under … proceedings.

(vi) Normally … necessary."

As a result of the above judgement The Law commission of India proposed amendments to Section 89[9] and Part 1A, 1B, 1C of Order X[10] in its 238th Report.[11]

[9] Section 89 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
[10] Order X of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
[11] Law Commission Of India's 238th Report

3. EARLY MEDIATION DEVELOPMENT BY THE INDIAN JUDICIAL SYSTEM:

3.1. Development of Mediation through Judiciary:

The development of mediation through the judiciary in India represents a transformative journey aimed at enhancing the efficiency and accessibility of the judicial process. One of the critical milestones in this journey was the enactment of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, which provided a comprehensive legal framework for ADR mechanisms, including mediation. This act was instrumental in laying the groundwork for mediation practices by establishing clear procedures and guidelines. The judiciary, through various rulings and administrative measures, has further refined these procedures to ensure that mediation is a practical and attractive option for disputants.[12]

In addition to legislative measures, judicial education and training have played a crucial role in the advancement of mediation. Recognizing that judges and lawyers are key stakeholders in the mediation process, the judiciary has prioritized training programs to equip them with the necessary skills and knowledge. The National Judicial Academy and State Judicial Academies regularly conduct workshops and seminars on mediation techniques and best practices. These educational initiatives aim to foster a culture of mediation within the judiciary, encouraging judges to refer cases to mediation and lawyers to advise their clients on its benefits.

The judiciary has also been proactive in integrating technology to support mediation practices. The advent of online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms has revolutionized access to mediation services, making it possible to resolve disputes remotely. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of ODR, with the judiciary endorsing virtual mediation sessions to ensure continuity of dispute resolution processes.[13] This digital shift has not only expanded the reach of mediation but also demonstrated its adaptability and resilience in the face of unforeseen challenges. The success of virtual mediation has laid the foundation for its continued use, even as normalcy returns.

---

[12] Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

[13] Dave, S., Mishra, S., "An Analysis of Online Dispute Resolution in India with Special Emphasis on the Impact of Covid-19: Opportunities and Obstacles" 3 Jus Corpus L.J. 507 (2022-2023)

Moreover, the judiciary's support for mediation extends to public awareness and outreach programs. By collaborating with non-governmental organizations, legal aid societies, and educational institutions, the judiciary has been actively involved in demystifying mediation for the general public. These initiatives aim to inform citizens about their rights and the benefits of mediation, thereby fostering a more informed and willing participation in the mediation process. Public trust and confidence in mediation as a fair and effective dispute resolution method are crucial for its success, and the judiciary's efforts in this regard have been commendable.

Finally, the evolving jurisprudence on mediation underscores the judiciary's commitment to refining and strengthening this ADR mechanism. Judicial pronouncements have clarified various aspects of mediation, such as confidentiality, enforceability of mediation agreements, and the role of mediators. These decisions not only provide legal certainty but also enhance the credibility and legitimacy of mediation. As mediation continues to evolve, the judiciary's role in shaping its trajectory remains indispensable. By addressing challenges, setting standards, and promoting best practices, the judiciary ensures that mediation remains a dynamic and integral part of India's dispute resolution landscape.

3.2. Why India needs legislations on Mediation ?

Mediation is still not widely accepted in India, and there is a lack of a clear legal framework for mediation. Legislation on mediation can provide a structured approach for resolving disputes through mediation and create a legal framework for the process. This can lead to increased use of mediation, which can help reduce the burden on courts and improve access to justice for litigants. Moreover, legislations can create a mechanism for enforcing mediation agreements and protect the confidentiality of the mediation process. In addition, India's current legal system is facing a backlog of cases, and mediation can help reduce the number of cases in courts. With the right legislation, mediation can be made mandatory before filing a case, and parties can be encouraged to resolve their disputes through mediation. This can save time, money, and resources and reduce the burden on the courts.

However, if the mediation centres functioning under the aegis of Indian Courts are overcrowded (if mandatory pre-litigation mediation is implemented as proposed under The

Mediation Bill, 2021[14]) or the mediations schemes are overloaded, it will clearly reduce the efficiency of the mechanism. So, inappropriate or unnecessary referral of disputes to mediation will act as a bane instead of being a boon to the legal services.

Other concern that poses a danger to mediation process is the annexing of most of the mediation centres with courts. This projects a danger of converting mediation into a court-governed service. It is necessary to draw a line between adversarial and third-party assisted resolution. The court's role in mediation process shall only come into play at the stage when the Mediation Settlement Agreement needs to enforced. The above points highlight the need for a specific legislation on mediation and not rely on provisions containing traces of statutes dealing with mediation. Hence, a standalone legislation on mediation can help promote the use of mediation, improve access to justice, and reduce the burden on courts, making it an important step for India's legal system.

4. IMPORTANT MEDIATION INSTANCES IN INDIA:

4.1. *Salem Advocate Bar Association V. Union of India:*

In this case the constitutional validity of the 1999 and 2000 amendments to The Code of Civil Procedure was challenged. The court in this case delivered the judgement in three parts and were published as three reports. the case consisted of two cases in which the first one[15] laid down the amendments and the second case[16] discussed the feasibility of the amendments in delivering quick, efficient and proper justice. Supreme Court cleared the obligation upon the court, where it was required that the court shall formulate a **"summary of disputes".**

Report 1 dealt with various grievances related to the amendments and also contained the recommendations made by the Law commission of India.

Report 2 dealt with the amendment brought in **Section 89** relating to ADR mechanism and **Order X Rule 1A, 1B & 1C** were discussed and interpreted as to facilitate ADR mechanism and preserve the very soul of ADR mechanisms i.e., to reduce the burden on court.

[14] The Mediation Bill, 2021
[15] AIR 2003 SC 189
[16] (2005) 6 SCC 344

Report 3 dealt with case flow management and model rules. The court in its appraisal for Case Management rules said it can yield very good results and achieve speedy and efficient delivery of justice.

4.2. *Afcons Infrastructure Limited V. Cherian Varkey Construction Company Private Limited*[17]**:**

In this case the Supreme Court discussed **Section 89**[18] very widely and extensively and interpreted the same in such a way as to counter the poor drafting of the provision and transform it into a smooth functioning statute. The obligation upon the court to formulate "summary of disputes" which was diluted in *Salem Advocate Bar Association Case*[19]*,* was further diminished by the court in this case. The court examined and identified these 4 flaws in the provision:

- **i.** Section 89 in its language makes the use of both the word "Conciliation" & "Mediation", which was the most common reason, why the provision everyone trying to interpret it.
- **ii.** The inconsistency between the sub clause **2(c) & 2(d)** of the provision which deal with **Judicial Statement & Mediation** respectively.
- **iii.** The provision meddled with the very essence of ADR i.e., saving the court's time by avoiding litigation. Because it was mandated under the section that every court before subjecting or referring the dispute to ADR, shall lay down the terms and conditions of the settlement to be reached to. This requires extensive processes to be carried out like hearing arguments and others. This also limited the flexibility of forming the terms of the settlement by the parties to find a common solution, under the ADR processes.
- **iv.** The provision also failed to lay down the procedure to keep a check on the application of the said provision in real life.

The court answered to the above issues thoroughly in the following manner, so that they may clear the hindrances faced in the practical application of the provision:

---

[17] (2010) 8 SCC 24
[18] Section 89 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
[19] (2005) 6 SCC 344

i. The court referred to **dictionary meaning**[20] which defines "Mediation" & "Conciliation" as synonymous terms and can be used interchangeably.

ii. The court interchanged the terms of **"Judicial Statement"** under **Section 89 (2)(c)** and **"Mediation"** under **Section 89 (2)(d)** with each other, and concluded that interchanging the terms makes perfect sense when read with interchanged definitions.

iii. Supreme Court dispensed the mandate under **Section 89(1)** where the court was required to lay down the terms of the settlement for the parties.

4.3. *Moti Ram V. Ashok Kumar*[21]**:**

This case played a very crucial role in upholding one of the most important characteristics of mediation i.e., to maintain the confidentiality of the mediation proceedings. In this case the court referred a matter to mediation centre in Chandigarh. After the conclusion of process the mediator submitted a report of the events to the Court. Hence, the question of confidentiality was raised before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The Hon'ble Court in this case held that the mediator will not disclose the chain of events before the court and will only produce before the court, the terms of agreement of settlement duly signed by the parties after the conclusion of mediation proceedings.

Other cases which dealt with the issue of confidentiality are ***K. Prabhavathy v. Director General of Police***[22] and In ***Gajanan v. Raghurai Thamba***[23] the Bombay HC ordered that a person acting as a conciliator between disputed parties in a conciliation proceeding can not be called as a witness under the ambit of **Section 80 & 81** of The AC act[24].

4.4. *A. Sreeramaiah S/O J. Anjanappa v. The South Indian Bank Ltd.*[25]**:**

In this case the Karnataka High Court ordered refund of court fees to the plaintiff under the **Section 16** of **The Court Fees Act, 1870** due to the reason that the parties settled the matter outside the court on the basis of terms of settlement defined by court.

---

[20] Black's Law Dictionary, 7th Ed. (Page 1377 & 996)
[21] (2011) 1 SCC 466
[22] ILR 2012 (3) Ker 922
[23] W.P. 15/2007 [Bombay HC (Goa Bench) order date 07 March 2007]
[24] Arbitration and conciliation Act, 1996
[25] ILR 2006 KAR 4032

There have been multiple instances of the same nature in different courts. Few of such cases are ***Ranganathan v. In the Court of District Judge***[26]***, Kamalamma v. Honnali Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd.,***[27]***, Mudavangadam Abbas v. Kurrippurathodi Mayinkutty***[28]***, Vipin Luthra v. Vikram Kumar Jain***[29]**, etc. These matters dealt with the question of refund of court fees for disputes settled outside the court (for example: Lok Adalat) upon the reference made by the court under **Section 89**[30].

4.5. *Haresh Dayaram Thakur v. State of Maharashtra*[31]**:**

In this case the parties finalised a settlement agreement but did not sign it. The Supreme court in this case held that *"The settlement takes shape only when the parties draw up the settlement agreement or request the conciliator to prepare the same and* ***affix their signatures to it.****"*

5. THE MEDIATION BILL, 2021[32]:

The Mediation Bill 2021, also known as the "The Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Bill, 2021," was introduced in the Indian Parliament in February 2021. The bill seeks to amend the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, to promote the use of mediation as a method of dispute resolution in India. The bill proposes to establish a framework for the recognition and enforcement of mediated settlements, similar to the existing framework for arbitral awards. This would give more certainty to parties who choose mediation as a means of resolving their disputes. The bill also includes provisions for the appointment of a mediator, the conduct of mediation proceedings, and the confidentiality of the mediation process. It proposes the creation of a new body, the Mediation Council of India, which would be responsible for the promotion, regulation, and development of mediation services in the country. The Mediation Bill 2021 is seen as an important step towards strengthening India's legal framework for alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms, particularly mediation. The use of mediation

[26] (2008) 1 MLJ 646
[27] 2010 (1) AIR Kar 279
[28] (2012) 3 KLJ 560
[29] (2011) 161 PLR 790
[30] Section 89 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
[31] AIR 2000 SC 2281 (Para 19)
[32] The Mediation Bill, 2021

in India has been growing in recent years, but there is still a need for greater awareness and acceptance of mediation as a viable option for resolving disputes.

5.1. Key features of the Mediation Bill, 2021:

a. Apart from all other features of the bill to achieve a systematic and centralised mediation infrastructure, the bill propose a mandate of **"mediation before litigation".** Such mandatory subjection of disputes to mediation before proceeding with litigation for the same.

b. The bill proposes for setting up of a central institute to initiate, facilitate and govern mediation processes in India. And talks about naming it at **"Mediation Council of India".**

c. The bill gives crucial weightage to **"Mediation Settlement Agreements (MSA)"**. It declares them to be legally enforceable. And directs the parties to get them registered within 90 days to ensure recording of the settlement in public records.

5.2. Various Concerns with the Bill:

a. It does not consider the existence of a mediation agreement between them. It subjects the parties to mediation prior to litigation even if there is no mediation agreement between the parties. This clearly curbs the freedom of parties to choose a ADR method of their or their choice of not opting for ADR mechanisms. This eventually limits the flexibility advertised by various ADR mechanisms.

b. The subjection of disputes to ADR must be a voluntary action of the parties and not a strict imposition of statutes, which lacks their consent. The **access to justice** is a constitutional right guaranteed to citizens under Article 21[33], and it being an inalienable right cannot be fiddled or tampered with. Forcing the parties to mediation clearly implies denial of justice.

c. The bill is not applicable on disputes which are not of commercial nature, and it also excludes from its scope any cases related to government or its agencies.

d. The clause 26 of the bill was contended by the committee to be ***ultra-vires,*** because if the pre-litigation mediation is conducted mandatorily. Then it is to be conducted

[33] "Article 21" of The Constitution of India

in accordance with rules framed by Supreme Court or High Courts. Therefore, **Clause 26[34] is unconstitutional.**

6. THE MEDIATION ACT 2023:

6.1. Introduction:

The Mediation Act 2023 represents a significant legislative advancement in alternative dispute resolution (ADR). This act provides a comprehensive legal framework to support and regulate mediation practices, making mediation a more accessible, efficient, and standardized method for resolving disputes outside the courtroom. This paper explores the key provisions of the Mediation Act 2023, its implications, and the potential challenges and future directions for mediation under this new legislative regime.

The journey towards the Mediation Act 2023 is rooted in the growing recognition of mediation as a valuable tool for resolving disputes. Historically, mediation has been practiced in various forms across cultures, emphasizing the resolution of conflicts through dialogue and mutual agreement. For example:

- **Ancient Practices:** In ancient China, mediation was a common practice, supported by Confucian philosophy which emphasized harmony and the resolution of conflicts without resorting to litigation.
- **Indigenous Cultures:** Many Indigenous cultures around the world have long utilized mediation-like processes as integral parts of their community governance and conflict resolution practices.
- **Modern ADR Movement:** In the modern legal context, the formalization of mediation gained momentum in the late 20th and early 21st centuries as part of the broader ADR movement. This movement emerged as a response to the increasing dissatisfaction with the costs, delays, and adversarial nature of traditional litigation.

6.2. Objectives of the Act:

i. Standardization: Establishing uniform procedures and standards for mediation to ensure consistency and reliability across different jurisdictions.

[34] "Clause 26" of The Mediation Bill, 2021

ii. Confidentiality: Ensuring the confidentiality of mediation processes to foster open and honest communication between parties.

iii. Voluntariness: Preserving the voluntary nature of mediation to maintain its non-coercive character.

iv. Neutrality: Guaranteeing the impartiality of mediators to ensure fair and unbiased facilitation.

v. Enforceability: Providing mechanisms for the enforcement of mediation agreements to give parties confidence in the mediation process.

6.3. Key Provisions of the Mediation Act 2023

A. Definition and Scope:

The act provides a clear definition of mediation and specifies its scope. Mediation is defined as a voluntary and confidential process where an impartial mediator facilitates communication between disputing parties to help them reach a mutually acceptable agreement. The scope of the act typically includes various types of disputes, such as:

- Civil Disputes: Including contract disputes, tort claims, property disputes, etc.
- Commercial Disputes: Covering business-related conflicts, including those involving partnerships, trade disputes, and consumer issues.
- Family Disputes: Including divorce, child custody, and other familial issues.
- Community Disputes: Addressing conflicts within communities, such as neighbourhood disagreements and local governance issues.

B. Appointment and Qualifications of Mediators:

The act sets out the qualifications and appointment procedures for mediators to ensure they possess the necessary skills and knowledge to conduct mediation effectively. Key aspects include:

- Qualifications: Mediators must have formal training, certification, and relevant experience. This may involve completing accredited mediation courses and ongoing professional development.
- Appointment Process: Mediators can be appointed through mutual agreement of the parties, court referral, or by mediation institutions. The act ensures that the appointment process is transparent and fair.

C. Confidentiality:

Confidentiality is a cornerstone of the Mediation Act 2023. The act mandates that all communications and documents related to the mediation process are confidential and cannot be disclosed without the consent of the parties. Specific provisions include:

- Scope of Confidentiality: Covering all oral and written communications made during the mediation process.
- Exceptions: Outlining specific circumstances under which confidentiality may be breached, such as in cases of imminent harm or as required by law.

D. Voluntariness:

The act underscores the voluntary nature of mediation. Participation in mediation must be consensual, and parties have the right to withdraw from the process at any time. This provision ensures that mediation remains a non-coercive and collaborative method of dispute resolution, encouraging parties to engage willingly and openly.

E. Neutrality and Impartiality of Mediators:

Mediators are required to remain neutral and impartial throughout the mediation process. Specific provisions include:

- Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest: Mediators must disclose any potential conflicts of interest to the parties.
- Impartiality Assurance: Mediators must take steps to ensure their neutrality is maintained, and the act provides mechanisms for the replacement of mediators if their impartiality is compromised.

F. Mediation Procedure:

The act outlines the procedural aspects of mediation, including the initiation of mediation, conduct of sessions, and the mediator's role. Key elements include:

- Initiation: Procedures for how mediation is initiated, whether voluntarily by the parties or through court referral.
- Sessions: Guidelines for the conduct of mediation sessions, including the structure and format.
- Mediator's Role: Defining the responsibilities and limitations of the mediator in facilitating communication and helping parties reach an agreement.

G. Enforcement of Mediation Agreements

Once a mediation agreement is reached, it can be formalized and made legally binding. The act provides mechanisms for the enforcement of mediation agreements, including:

- Formalization: Procedures for documenting and formalizing the agreement.
- Legal Binding: Provisions to ensure that the agreement is legally binding and enforceable in court.

H. Court-Connected Mediation:

The Mediation Act 2023 includes provisions for court-referred mediation, integrating mediation into the judicial process. This can help reduce court caseloads and encourage the use of mediation as an effective alternative to litigation. Specific aspects include:

- Referral Process: Guidelines for how courts can refer cases to mediation.
- Integration: Ensuring that mediation processes are harmonized with judicial procedures to provide a seamless experience for parties.

6.4. Implications of the Mediation Act 2023

The Mediation Act 2023 has far-reaching implications for the dispute resolution landscape:

a. **Increased Adoption of Mediation:** The clear legal framework provided by the act is likely to encourage greater adoption of mediation. Parties may be more willing to consider mediation knowing that it is supported by robust legal provisions, which can lead to an increase in the number of disputes resolved through mediation.

b. **Professionalization of Mediation:** By setting standards for mediator qualifications and procedures, the act contributes to the professionalization of mediation. This enhances the credibility of mediators and the quality of mediation services, leading to better outcomes for parties involved.

c. **Access to Justice:** Mediation offers a more accessible and cost-effective means of resolving disputes. The Mediation Act 2023 facilitates greater access to justice, particularly for individuals and small businesses that may find litigation prohibitively expensive and time-consuming. Mediation provides a more informal and less intimidating environment for resolving disputes.

d. **Promotion of a Settlement Culture:** The act promotes a culture of settlement and negotiation, encouraging parties to seek amicable solutions rather than resorting to adversarial litigation. This shift in mindset can lead to more collaborative and sustainable resolutions, fostering long-term relationships between parties.

e. **Reduction in Court Caseloads:** By encouraging the use of mediation, the act can help reduce the burden on courts. This can lead to faster resolution of cases and more efficient use of judicial resources, benefiting the overall legal system.

6.5. Challenges and Criticisms

While the Mediation Act 2023 represents a significant advancement, it is not without challenges and criticisms:

a. **Consistency and Uniformity:** Despite the act's aim to standardize mediation practices, there may still be variations in how it is implemented across different jurisdictions. This can create inconsistencies and uncertainty for parties involved in cross-border disputes, necessitating further efforts to harmonize practices.

b. **Quality Control:** Ensuring the quality of mediation services remains a challenge. While the act sets out qualifications for mediators, there can be significant differences in the training and competence of mediators. Ongoing professional development and quality control mechanisms are essential to maintain high standards.

c. **Power Imbalances:** Power imbalances between parties can undermine the fairness of mediation. The act emphasizes voluntariness, but mediators need to be vigilant in identifying and addressing power dynamics to ensure fair outcomes. Additional support mechanisms for vulnerable parties may be necessary.

d. **Confidentiality and Transparency:** The emphasis on confidentiality can sometimes raise concerns about transparency and accountability. Balancing the need for confidentiality with the need for transparency is an ongoing challenge. Provisions may be needed to ensure accountability while maintaining confidentiality.

e. **Enforcement of Agreements:** Enforcing mediated agreements can be problematic, especially in jurisdictions where the legal framework for enforcement is weak or unclear. Ensuring that mediated agreements are enforceable is crucial for the credibility of mediation, requiring clear and effective enforcement mechanisms.

6.6. Future Directions

The Mediation Act 2023 provides a solid foundation for the future development of mediation. However, there are several areas that could be further developed:

a. **Integration with Technology:** The use of technology in mediation is rapidly evolving. Online mediation platforms and virtual mediation sessions offer greater convenience and accessibility. Future developments in the act may need to address issues related to online mediation, such as digital confidentiality, security, and the use of artificial intelligence in mediation processes.

b. **Enhanced Training and Accreditation:** Ongoing professional development and more rigorous accreditation processes can help ensure the quality and competence of mediators. This could include mandatory continuing education, peer reviews, and the development of specialized training programs for different types of mediation.

c. **Addressing Power Imbalances:** Future iterations of the act could include more explicit provisions for addressing power imbalances. This might involve guidelines for mediators on how to identify and manage power dynamics, as well as mechanisms for supporting vulnerable parties, such as the presence of legal advisors or support persons during mediation.

d. **Global Harmonization:** As cross-border disputes become more common, there is a growing need for harmonization of mediation practices and standards internationally. The development of international mediation frameworks and agreements, similar to the United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (the Singapore Convention on Mediation), can help create a more consistent global mediation landscape.

e. **Public Awareness and Education:** Increasing public awareness and understanding of mediation is crucial for its continued growth. Educational initiatives and public

awareness campaigns can help inform people about the benefits of mediation and how it works, encouraging more widespread use. This can include integrating mediation education into school curricula and professional training programs.

The Mediation Act 2023 marks a significant milestone in the evolution of mediation as a mainstream method of dispute resolution. By providing a comprehensive legal framework, the act enhances the credibility and effectiveness of mediation, promoting its use as a viable alternative to litigation. While challenges remain, the ongoing development and refinement of the act, along with complementary measures such as enhanced training, technological integration, and international harmonization, can help address these issues and further strengthen the role of mediation in resolving disputes. As society continues to seek more efficient, collaborative, and cost-effective ways to resolve conflicts, the Mediation Act 2023 stands as a testament to the value of mediation and the importance of a robust legal framework to support its practice. The future of mediation looks promising, with the potential for continued growth and evolution in response to emerging needs and challenges.

7. MEDIATION'S DEVELOPMENT AS A COMPONENT OF ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:

Mediation has long been recognized as an effective method for resolving disputes outside of the courtroom. With the rise of online communication and the increasing popularity of e-commerce, the need for online dispute resolution (ODR) has become more apparent. As a result, mediation has evolved to become a key component of ODR. In an online context, mediation can be conducted through various platforms, such as video conferencing, email, or chat. One of the benefits of using mediation in ODR is that it can be more cost-effective and efficient than traditional face-to-face mediation. Online mediation allows parties to participate from anywhere in the world, reducing travel costs and scheduling conflicts. It can also be conducted in a shorter time frame, as online communication tends to be more focused and direct. Another advantage of online mediation is the potential for increased transparency and record-keeping. Mediation sessions can be recorded and saved for future reference, reducing the likelihood of misunderstandings or disputes over what was discussed or agreed upon.

However, there are also challenges to conducting mediation online. One of the key concerns is the lack of nonverbal cues that can be important in face-to-face communication.

Additionally, technological issues such as poor internet connections or malfunctioning equipment can disrupt the mediation process. To address these challenges, mediators who specialize in online mediation may use a variety of tools and techniques, such as video conferencing software with high-quality video and audio capabilities, and pre-mediation discussions to ensure that all parties are comfortable with the technology being used.

In conclusion, mediation has evolved to become a vital component of ODR, providing a cost-effective and efficient method for resolving disputes in an online context. While there are challenges to conducting mediation online, the benefits of increased accessibility, transparency, and record-keeping make it a valuable tool for resolving conflicts in the digital age.

8. ODR PROCEDURES:

ODR stands for Online Dispute Resolution, which is a process of resolving disputes between parties through the use of technology and the internet. ODR can be used for a wide range of disputes, including consumer disputes, business-to-business disputes, and disputes between individuals. There are numerous versions of ODR that employ negotiation, mediation, or arbitration principles, or a mix of all three. Based on this, ODR is categorised as follows:

- **a.** Completely Online,
- **b.** Partially online, partially in person,
- **c.** Synchronous and Asynchronous Communication (referring to whether the process is conducted in real time or whether documents are posted and the dispute resolution specialist considers them in his own time).

The ODR procedure typically involves the following steps:

- **a.** Initial communication: The parties initiate contact with each other and provide information about the dispute.
- **b.** Online negotiation: The parties attempt to negotiate a resolution to the dispute through an online platform, with the assistance of a mediator or facilitator if necessary.
- **c.** Online mediation: If the parties are unable to reach a resolution through negotiation, a mediator is appointed to assist them in finding a solution.
- **d.** Binding decision: If the parties are unable to reach a resolution through negotiation or mediation, a binding decision may be made by an adjudicator or arbitrator.

ODR has the advantage of being a convenient and cost-effective way of resolving disputes, particularly for parties who are geographically distant from each other. It can also be more efficient than traditional dispute resolution methods, as it allows for faster communication and can be conducted outside of traditional business hours. Although the method presents itself with the attribute of allowing one conduct, attend proceedings remotely, it still poses various limitations. One restriction of fully online ODR is that the parties do not meet one other. Face-to-face encounters are an essential component of mediation. This allows for physical touch, typically increases conversation, allows for greater comprehension, and allows for the observation of body language. This restrictions attacks the very essence of Mediation i.e., repairing damaged relationships while provide a resolution the disputes. It is clearly evident that ODR methods hamper this process. However, The above mentioned constraint can be somewhat overcome by using video conferencing for online dispute resolution; this option is becoming popular.

ODR (online dispute resolution) is bound to develop as more people utilise online facilities, especially when audio or video and mobile technologies combine and grow. Using current technology, ODR emphasises communication, cooperation, and engagement. The EU has established a Consumer ODR law, under which the European Commission has created an ODR platform for consumer disputes within EU member states. This is primarily intended for e-commerce transactions, but it is not restricted to them only.[35]

a. Online Mediation: This involves the use of an online platform to conduct a mediation session between parties who are in dispute. A mediator facilitates the process and helps the parties reach a mutually acceptable resolution.
b. Online Arbitration: In this process, a neutral arbitrator is appointed to hear the dispute and make a binding decision. The parties present their evidence and arguments through an online platform, and the arbitrator makes a decision based on the evidence presented.
c. Online Negotiation: This involves the use of online communication tools such as email, chat, or video conferencing to negotiate a settlement between parties in dispute.
d. Online Expert Determination: This is a process where an independent expert is appointed to make a decision on a particular issue. The parties submit their evidence

[35] Regulation (Eu) No. 524/2013

and arguments to the expert through an online platform, and the expert makes a decision based on the evidence presented.

e. Online Dispute Resolution Platforms: These are platforms that provide a range of ODR services, including mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and expert determination. These platforms are designed to facilitate the resolution of disputes between parties who are located in different parts of the world.Various examples can be taken for reference as to how nations around the globe use ODR methods. Few of such examples are being quoted here as follows:

- **i.** SquareTrade,
- **ii.** Virtualmediationlab.com,
- **iii.** International Centre for Online Dispute Resolution, etc.

9. INDIA'S USE OF ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:

Almost every nation familiar to ADR methods is slowly moving towards ODR procedures and India has been a pioneer in adopting online dispute resolution (ODR) mechanisms to resolve legal disputes. The country has a huge population and a large number of legal disputes, making ODR an attractive option for resolving disputes in a timely and cost-effective manner. It is getting increasingly popular and has been described as the fastest growing dispute resolution method not only in India but worldwide. Apart from the growing use of technology and its incorporation in every sector (including legal field), COVID-19 pandemic has played a severely imminent role in increasing the use of technology around the world, from individuals to organisational levels, it has boosted the use of technology and more specifically conducting business through video conferencing. Here are some examples of India's use of ODR:

i. **The National E-Governance Plan:** This plan was launched by the Indian government in 2006 to provide government services online. As part of this initiative, the government launched the Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) platform in 2017. The platform provides a secure and reliable mechanism for resolving disputes related to government services.

ii. **The Maharashtra State Legal Services Authority (MSLSA):** The MSLSA launched an ODR platform in 2020 to help resolve disputes related to labor and industrial

disputes. The platform provides an alternative to traditional legal proceedings and helps save time and costs for parties involved.

iii. **The Delhi High Court:** The Delhi High Court has been actively promoting the use of ODR for resolving disputes. In 2020, it launched an ODR platform to resolve disputes related to motor vehicle accidents. The platform has been successful in resolving a large number of cases in a timely and cost-effective manner. Delhi High Court has a specialised centre for dispute resolution named as **Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC)** which is famous for both domestic and international arbitration both in-person and virtual mode.

iv. **The Indian Institute of Corporate Affairs (IICA):** The IICA has been offering online dispute resolution services to the corporate sector since 2014. The platform provides a secure and efficient mechanism for resolving disputes related to corporate transactions and agreements.

Overall, India's use of online dispute resolution mechanisms has been growing rapidly in recent years. ODR has proved to be an effective and efficient way to resolve disputes, and it is likely to become even more popular in the years to come.

10. INCREASING PROFESSIONALISM AND REWARDING MEDIATION PROCEDURES:

The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation is a legislative framework developed to promote and improve mediation as a means of resolving international commercial disputes. The law aims to make mediation processes more professional, efficient, and attractive to businesses and individuals engaged in international trade. Mediation is designed to be a quicker and less expensive method of resolving disputes compared to litigation or arbitration. The UNCITRAL Model Law encourages the use of mediation to resolve conflicts efficiently. Litigation can be prolonged and costly, often involving extensive court procedures and legal fees. Mediation, on the other hand, can significantly reduce these expenses by allowing parties to reach an agreement more swiftly. This cost reduction is particularly beneficial for small and

medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may not have the financial resources for lengthy legal battles.[36]

Businesses can allocate their resources more effectively by opting for mediation. The time and money saved can be redirected towards core business activities, innovation, and growth rather than being tied up in dispute resolution processes. This efficient allocation of resources contributes to the overall health and competitiveness of businesses.[37]

Mediation promotes a collaborative approach to dispute resolution. Unlike litigation, where parties are often adversaries, mediation encourages cooperation and mutual understanding. Mediators facilitate open communication, helping parties to explore their interests and work together to find a mutually acceptable solution. This collaborative atmosphere can preserve and even strengthen business relationships, which is particularly important in ongoing commercial partnerships.[38]

The model law acknowledges the importance of cultural differences in international trade. It incorporates flexibility to accommodate diverse cultural norms and practices, making it easier for parties from different backgrounds to engage in mediation. This cultural sensitivity helps create a more inclusive and understanding environment for resolving conflicts, fostering respect and cooperation among international parties.[39]

Mediation aims to address the underlying issues that give rise to disputes, seeking to resolve the root causes rather than just the symptoms. This approach leads to sustainable solutions that can prevent future conflicts. By finding resolutions that satisfy the interests of all parties, mediation reduces the likelihood of recurring disputes between the same parties.[40]

---

[36] UNCITRAL. "*UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)*." Available at: https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/mediation/modellaw/commercial_conciliation

[37] Genn, H. "Why the Privatisation of Civil Justice is a Rule of Law Issue." Journal of Law and Society, vol. 36, no. 1, 2009, pp. 34-39.
[38] Riskin, L. L. "Mediation and Lawyers." Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution, vol. 43, 1996, pp. 29-33.
[39] Hofstede, G. "Cultural Dimensions in Management and Planning." Asia Pacific Journal of Management, vol. 1, no. 2, 1984, pp. 81-99.
[40] Bush, R. A. B., & Folger, J. P. "The Promise of Mediation: The Transformative Approach to Conflict." Jossey-Bass Publishers, 2005.

Mediation focuses on achieving win-win outcomes, where all parties feel they have gained something from the resolution. This is in contrast to litigation, where one party often "wins" at the expense of the other. Win-win solutions help maintain positive relationships and reduce the chances of dissatisfaction, which can lead to future disputes.[41]

The model law provides a clear and standardized framework for mediation, enhancing legal certainty and predictability for international traders. This reliability is crucial for businesses engaging in cross-border transactions, as it reduces the risks associated with legal uncertainties. Knowing that there is a dependable mechanism for resolving disputes encourages businesses to enter into international agreements with greater confidence.[42]

By harmonizing mediation practices across different jurisdictions, the model law helps create consistent and reliable procedures worldwide. Standardization ensures that parties from different countries can engage in mediation with a common understanding of the process. This consistency is vital for international trade, where varying national laws can create complexities and uncertainties.[43]

The model law emphasizes the importance of professional training and accreditation for mediators. It sets out requirements for mediators to have the necessary skills and expertise to conduct mediations effectively. This includes completing accredited mediation courses, gaining practical experience, and engaging in ongoing professional development. Professionalization enhances the quality and credibility of mediation services, ensuring that parties receive competent and effective assistance.[44]

The model law promotes high ethical standards for mediators, including principles of impartiality, confidentiality, and voluntary participation. Mediators are required to disclose any potential conflicts of interest and maintain neutrality throughout the mediation process. These

[41] Fisher, R., Ury, W., & Patton, B. "Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In." Penguin Books, 2011.
[42] Kaufmann-Kohler, G., & Rigozzi, A. "International Arbitration: Law and Practice in Switzerland." Oxford University Press, 2015.
[43] Moses, M. L. "The Principles and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration." Cambridge University Press, 2017.
[44] Menkel-Meadow, C. "Training and Professional Development of Mediators." Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution, vol. 10, no. 1, 1994, pp. 37-50.

ethical standards help build trust in the mediation process, ensuring that all parties are treated fairly and that their communications remain confidential.[45]

The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation aims to transform mediation into a more professional, efficient, and rewarding method of dispute resolution. By reducing costs, fostering a collaborative and culturally sensitive environment, preventing future disputes, promoting harmonious international trade, and enhancing the professionalism of mediators, the model law significantly contributes to the effectiveness and attractiveness of mediation. This comprehensive framework supports the resolution of international commercial disputes in a way that is beneficial to all parties involved, promoting peace and cooperation in the global marketplace.

11. MORAL GUIDELINES FOR MEDIATORS:

Under the UNCITRAL Conciliation Rules (1980), the conciliator has the liberty to conduct the proceedings with respect to the circumstances and the way best suited for the interests of the parties, such as allowing oral statements to dispose of the matter expeditiously, using different approaches for smooth dispute resolution, make different settlement proposals to the parties, etc. Another important feature of these rules is that the obligation to draft the settlement agreement is primarily upon the conciliator.

- Adaptability: Tailor the mediation process to the specific circumstances and needs of the parties.
- Efficiency: Conduct proceedings efficiently to avoid unnecessary delays.
- Oral Statements: Allow oral statements to expedite the process when appropriate.
- Flexible Techniques: Utilize various mediation approaches to facilitate smooth dispute resolution.
- Proactive Proposals: Make different settlement proposals while remaining neutral and impartial.
- Drafting Responsibility: Mediators have the primary obligation to draft the settlement agreement.

[45] Stulberg, J. B. "The Theory and Practice of Mediation: A Reply to Professor Susskind." Vermont Law Review, vol. 6, 1981, pp. 85-94.

- Clarity: Ensure the settlement agreement is clearly and precisely written.
- Comprehensiveness: Draft agreements that cover all aspects of the resolution comprehensively.
- Legally Sound: Ensure the settlement agreement is legally sound and enforceable.
- Confidentiality: Uphold strict confidentiality of all mediation communications and documents.
- Informed Consent: Ensure parties fully understand and consent to the settlement terms.
- Fairness: Strive for fair and equitable outcomes for all parties involved.
- Voluntariness: Avoid any form of coercion, ensuring agreements are reached voluntarily.
- Post-Mediation Support: Provide follow-up support to ensure smooth implementation of the agreement.
- Ongoing Neutrality: Maintain neutrality even after the mediation process.

CONCLUSION & SUGGESTIONS:

In conclusion, this research paper delves into the fascinating journey of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms in India, shining a spotlight on the art of mediation. Our exploration takes us through the historical roots, evolutionary shifts, and the current landscape of ADR and mediation in the country. We also take a peek at the influence wielded by the Indian judiciary and gaze into the crystal ball to predict the future of ADR and online dispute resolution (ODR) methods. This study showcases the tremendous potential of ADR and ODR in smoothing out conflicts in India, especially with the effectiveness of mediation stealing the spotlight. However, let's not ignore the hurdles these methods face, from a lack of awareness and insufficient infrastructure to resistance from certain legal circles. Beyond these challenges, our research emphasizes some crucial factors for the future of ADR and ODR in India. There's a call for more research to dive deep into how well these methods work within the unique socio-legal landscape of India. We also need to spread the word about the benefits of ADR and ODR, making sure both the general public and legal professionals are in the know. Building a robust infrastructure is non-negotiable for the sustainable integration of ADR and ODR into the Indian legal system. And let's not forget the potential role these methods could play in easing the backlog of cases in Indian courts. Despite the hurdles, there's a sense of optimism about ADR and ODR in India. With increasing government support and a growing

awareness of their benefits, these methods are gearing up to take centre stage in resolving disputes within the Indian legal landscape.

The judicial evolution of mediation represents a transformative shift in the landscape of conflict resolution, offering a viable alternative to traditional litigation. This research has highlighted the significant strides made in integrating mediation within the judicial framework, reflecting a growing recognition of its efficacy in resolving disputes efficiently and amicably. Through a detailed examination of case studies, legislative developments, and comparative analysis across jurisdictions, it is evident that mediation serves not only to alleviate the burden on courts but also to empower parties to reach mutually satisfactory outcomes. The integration of mediation within the judicial system has led to a more holistic approach to justice, where the emphasis is placed on dialogue, understanding, and cooperative problem-solving. This paradigm shift is particularly pertinent in a globalized world where diverse and complex disputes demand more flexible and responsive mechanisms. The judicial endorsement and promotion of mediation signal a commitment to enhancing access to justice, reducing litigation costs, and fostering a culture of conciliation and collaboration. However, the successful implementation of mediation within judicial processes requires continued efforts in education, training, and public awareness. Legal professionals, judges, and mediators must be equipped with the skills and knowledge to facilitate mediation effectively. Moreover, there needs to be a concerted effort to address any cultural and institutional barriers that may hinder the acceptance and utilization of mediation.

SUGGESTIONS

1. **Enhanced Training and Education:**
    - Develop comprehensive training programs for judges, lawyers, and mediators to ensure they possess the necessary skills and understanding of mediation principles.
    - Incorporate mediation and conflict resolution modules into legal education curricula to prepare future legal professionals for a more collaborative approach to dispute resolution.

2. **Public Awareness Campaigns:**
    - Launch initiatives to raise public awareness about the benefits of mediation, emphasizing its role in saving time, reducing costs, and preserving relationships.
    - Utilize media, community workshops, and informational sessions to demystify the mediation process and encourage its adoption.

3. **Legislative Support and Incentives:**
    - Advocate for legislative frameworks that support and incentivize the use of mediation, including mandatory mediation sessions for certain types of disputes.
    - Provide financial incentives or subsidies for parties opting for mediation, particularly in cases involving small claims or family disputes.

4. **Institutional Integration:**
    - Establish dedicated mediation centres within courthouses to provide accessible and immediate mediation services.
    - Encourage courts to refer appropriate cases to mediation early in the litigation process to maximize the potential for resolution.

5. **Monitoring and Evaluation:**
    - Implement robust mechanisms to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of mediation programs, using metrics such as settlement rates, participant satisfaction, and cost savings.
    - Regularly review and update mediation practices and policies based on feedback and evolving best practices to ensure continuous improvement.

6. **Cultural Sensitivity:**
    - Recognize and address cultural differences that may influence the acceptance and success of mediation. Tailor mediation approaches to respect cultural norms and values, ensuring inclusivity and effectiveness.

By adopting these suggestions, the judiciary can further bridge the gap in conflict resolution, making mediation a cornerstone of modern justice systems. This evolution not only enhances the efficiency of dispute resolution but also fosters a more just and harmonious society.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

STATUTES CONCERNED WITH ADR METHODS IN INDIA:

- The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.
- The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
- Legal Services Authority Act, 1987.
- Civil Procedure ADR and Mediation Rules, 2003.
- Tamil Nadu Mediation Rules, 2010.
- Delhi Mediation and Conciliation Rules, 2004.
- Bombay High Court ADR Rules.
- Civil Procedure Mediation (Gujarat) Draft Rules, 2007.
- Uttar Pradesh Civil Procedure Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules, 2009.
- Uttar Pradesh Civil Procedure Mediation Rule, 2009.
- Karnataka High Court Civil Procedure Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules, 2005.
- Karnataka High Court Civil Procedure (Mediation) Rules, 2005.
- Kerala High Court Civil Procedure (Alternative Dispute Resolution) Rules, 2008.
- The Mediation Bill, 2021.

REPORTS / REGULATIONS / CONVENTIONS / TREATIES:

- Law Commission of India's 238th report (2011) *"Amendment of Section 89 of The Civil Procedure Code, 1908 and Allied Provisions"* December 30, 2011 https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s3ca0daec69b5adc880fb464895726dbdf/uploads/2022/08/2022081051-3.pdf
- THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL *"REGULATION (EU) No 524/2013 on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC (Regulation on consumer ODR)"* 21 May 2013 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32013R0524&from=DE

BOOKS:

- Panchu, S., (2020) *Mediation Practice & Law: The Path to Successful Dispute Resolution, 2nd Ed., LexisNexis India.*

- The Code of Civil Procedure (Amendment) Act, 1999 http://chdslsa.gov.in/right_menu/rules_regulationslsa/pdf_files/coc-1999.pdf
- Panchu, S., (2007) *Settle for More – The Why, How and When of Mediation,* East West Books (Madras) Pvt Ltd.
- Rao, Jagannatha J., (2014) *Concepts of Conciliation and Mediation and their differences,* retrieved on 13 March 2023 from

ARTICLES AND WEB SOURCES:

- Chakraborty, M. *Development of Mediation in India: A brief history,* VIA Mediation Centre https://viamediationcentre.org/readnews/ODc=/DEVELOPMENT-OF-MEDIATION-IN-INDIA-A-BRIEF-HISTORY#:~:text=The%20use%20of%20mediation%2C%20as,Mahajans%20were%20appointed%20as%20mediators.
- Delhi Mediation Centre, *History of Delhi Mediation Centre https://delhicourts.nic.in/dmc/history.htm*
- Chakraborty, A. *The Origin and Growth of Mediation in India,* Legal Services India https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-2440-the-origin-and-growth-of-mediation-in-india.html
- Mehta, A., Shetty, P., Jain, S. & Gopinath, A., (2022) *Analysis: Mediation in India,* Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas blogs https://corporate.cyrilamarchandblogs.com/2022/10/analysis-mediation-in-india/#_ftnref7
- Xavier, A., (2005-2006) *Mediation: its origin and growth in India,* Vol. 27 Hamline J. Pub. L. & Pol'y *https://www.arbitrationindia.com/pdf/mediation_india.pdf*
- Mediation and Conciliation Project Committee, *Mediation Training Manual of India,* Supreme Court of India, Delhi https://main.sci.gov.in/pdf/mediation/MT%20MANUAL%20OF%20INDIA.pdf
- Panchu, S., (2019) *Mediation in India,* The Mediation Chambers, Lexology https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=d45eed57-db32-40b6-a5e6-edad2363de76
- Haldar, P., (2021) *Role of Judiciary in Promoting ADR in India,* Legopedia https://legodesk.com/legopedia/role-of-judiciary-in-promoting-adr-in-india/

- Rodrigues, P., (2021) *Role of Judiciary in Alternate Dispute Resolution,* IP Leaders (Law Sikho) https://blog.ipleaders.in/role-of-judiciary-in-alternate-dispute-resolution/?amp=1
- Bhati, P., *Judiciary Role in Promoting Alternative Dispute Resolution,* VIA Mediation & Arbitration Centre https://viamediationcentre.org/readnews/MTQ0Mw==/Judiciary-Role-in-Promoting-Alternative-Dispute-Resolution
- Kumar, M. M., (July 10, 2010) *Relevance of Mediation to Justice Delivery System* National Conference on Mediation, New Delhi https://highcourtchd.gov.in/sub_pages/top_menu/about/events_files/NCMediationNewDelhi.pdf
- Ollapally, T., (2021) *Mediation Development in India,* Mediate.com, https://mediate.com/mediation-development-in-india/
- Karia, M. T., (2019) *Effective Implementation of Mediation in India: The way Forward,* Bar and Bench, https://www.barandbench.com/columns/effective-implementation-of-mediation-in-india-the-way-forward
- Jayshima, S., Paspulate, A., (2021) *Law on Mediation in India and its recent development,* International Bar Association, https://www.ibanet.org/law-on-mediation-in-India-and-its-recent-developments
- Panchu, S., (2022) "*Thanks to our judges, Darkness now clouds India's Mediation Playing Field",* The Wire June 13, 2022 https://thewire.in/law/mediation-cji-judges-hyderabad
- The Times of India (2022)*"Clear blocks to make Mediation acceptable in Judiciary: Kovind"* April 10, 2022 https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/clear-blocks-to-make-mediation-in-judiciary-acceptable-kovind/articleshow/90755203.cms
- Forbes (2010) *"Mediation in India"* September 28, 2010 https://www.forbes.com/2010/09/28/forbes-india-judiciary-encouraging-mediation-reduce-baclog.html?sh=3d91d62f1044
- Pal, A., (2017) *"The Impact of Mediation in India"* IP Leaders (Law Sikho) August 31, 2017 https://blog.ipleaders.in/the-impact-of-mediation-in-india/?amp=1
- Sahana, R. *"Legal aspect and approach to Mediation in India"* Legal Services India https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-3432-legal-aspect-and-approach-to-mediation-in-india.html

- Simple Kanoon (2022) *"Mediation in Matrimonial Disputes"* May 26, 2022 https://www.simplekanoon.com/family-law/mediation-in-matrimonial-disputes-535/
- Gauravprakash, P., *"Section 89 of CPC – A Critical Analysis"* Legal Services India https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-385-section-89-of-cpc-a-critical-analysis.html
- Law Commission of India's 238th report (2011) *"Amendment of Section 89 of The Civil Procedure Code, 1908 and Allied Provisions"* December 30, 2011 https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s3ca0daec69b5adc880fb464895726dbdf/uploads/2022/08/2022081051-3.pdf
- LiveLaw News Network (2022) *"Over 71,000 cases pending in Supreme Court, 59 Lakhs in High Courts: Law Minister tells Rajya Sabha"* August 5, 2022" https://www.livelaw.in/top-stories/over-71000-cases-pending-in-supreme-court-59-lakhs-in-high-courts-law-minister-tells-rajya-sabha-205784?infinitescroll=1
- Sumeda, (2022) *"Explained | The Clogged State of the Indian Judiciary"* The Hindu, May 10, 2022 (updated May 13, 2022) https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/indian-judiciary-pendency-data-courts-statistics-explain-judges-ramana-chief-justiceundertrials/article65378182.ece
- Nair, S., *"Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) and Online Dispute Resolution (ODR)"* Legal Services India https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-4170-alternative-dispute-resolution-adr-and-online-dispute-resolution-odr-.html
- Ubaka, C., (2018) "*Online Dispute Resolution (Odr) And Alternative Dispute Resolution (Adr): Two Parallel Lines Or Not?"* September 18, 2018, LinkedIn https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/online-dispute-resolution-odr-alternative-adr-two-parallel-ubaka#:~:text=ADR%20is%20primarily%20physical%20in,ADR%20enjoys%20the%20aforementioned.
- ODR by National Centre for State Courts *"What is ODR?"* *https://www.ncsc.org/odr/guidance-and-tools*
- Divy, C., (2022) *"The Classic case of Salem Advocate Bar Association, Tamil Nadu v. Union of India"* People's Reflections, March 5, 2022 https://reflections.live/articles/2101/case-of-salem-advocate-bar-association-tamil-nadu-vs-union-of-india-air-3984-l0dsq2wx.html

- Dani, R., *"The difference between Mediation and Lok Adalat"* VIA Mediation https://viamediationcentre.org/readnews/NDY5/The-difference-between-Mediation-and-Lok-Adalat#:~:text=While%20mediation%20takes%20place%20privately,for%20determining%20the%20monetary%20charges.
- Ankona, *"Lok Adalat"* VIA Mediation https://viamediationcentre.org/readnews/OTA0/Lok-Adalat
- Relyea, G., Bhatt, N. J., (2019) *"Comparing Mediation and Lok Adalat: Toward an Integrated Approach to Dispute Resolution in India"* Mediate.com, September 20, 2019 https://mediate.com/comparing-mediation-and-lok-adalat-toward-an-integrated-approach-to-dispute-resolution-in-india/
- Aslam, Md. A., *"Lok Adalat: Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanism in India"* Legal Services India https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-1823-lok-adalat-alternative-dispute-resolution-mechanism-in-india.html
- Kumar, S., Sehgal, A., (2020) *"Mediation, Negotiation and Lok Adalats as Modes of ADR"* Libertatum Magazine, August 28, 2020 https://libertatem.in/articles/mediation-negotiation-and-lok-adalats-as-modes-of-adr/
- Thomas, R., *"Moti Ram Tr. Lrs And Anr. V. Ashok Kumar And Anr.: Extending Confidentiality To Mediation"* VIA Mediation https://viamediationcentre.org/readnews/NzU=/Moti-Ram-Tr-LRs-and-Anr-v-Ashok-Kumar-and-Anr-Extending-Confidentiality-to-Mediation
- Bajpai, G. S., Karuna, V., (2022) *"Explained | The Mediation Bill, 2021"* The Hindu, October 3, 2022 (updated October 4, 2022) https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/explained-the-mediation-bill-2021/article65967986.ece/amp/
- Jigeesh, A. M., (2022) *"Mediation Bill: House Panel recommends against Compulsory Mediation, role for Judiciary"* The Hindu, July 11, 2022 https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/mediation-bill-house-panel-recommends-against-compulsory-mediation-role-for-judiciary/article65628277.ece
- THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL *"REGULATION (EU) No 524/2013 on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC (Regulation on consumer*

ODR)" 21 May 2013 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32013R0524&from=DE